**APPENDIX D**

**Chart 6. Main treatment insights gleaned from the federal administration integrity programs.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Public entity** | **Main measures (integrity risk treatments)** |
| Fundação Universidade Federal da Grande Dourados (UFGD) | 1. Automatic market price analysis tool;  2. Active transparency (strategic planning, process flowcharts, upcoming tenders etc);  3. Mapping the training needs of procurement planning technical teams;  4. Establishment of a permanent forum for discussion on topics related to public contracts; |
| Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina (UFSC) | 4. Approval of the regulations for monitoring administrative contracts;  5. Call for the selection of agents for contract monitoring (prior training in contract monitoring was required for applying);  6. Guidelines for the allocation of contract inspectors, with equal and impartial criteria; |
| Comissão Nacional de Energia Nucelar (CNEN) | 7. Software for mapping links between public managers and contractors with companies and suppliers;  8. Implementing norms to prevent nepotismo; |
| Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística (IBGE) | 9. Developed a risk analysis software for public procurement; |
| Instituto Nacional de Propriedade Industrial (INPI) | 10. Training of agents involved in the formulation of planning tools (ETP & TR);  11. Learning pathway for the agents involved in the bidding process;  12. Review of operational manuals for contract monitoring; |
| Instituto Federal de Educação, Ciência e Tecnologia de Alagoas (IFAL) | 13. Risk management system for implementing the internal controls outlined in the risk maps of contracting process;  14. Conduct internal training on conducting price survey; |
| Universidade Federal do Delta do Paranaíba (UFDPAR) | 15. Establish criteria for selecting contract inspectors based on impartiality and merit. |

Source: Authors.